## Controlling cells with RF

Want to make cells controllable using radio frequencies

Bioelectromagnetics 21:312-324 (2000)

#### Zeeman-Stark Modeling of the RF EMF Interaction With Ligand Binding

A. Chiabrera,<sup>1†</sup> B. Bianco,<sup>1</sup> E. Moggia,<sup>1</sup> and J.J. Kaufman<sup>2\*</sup>

•Shows in theory radiofrequencies can induce calcium binding to a hydrophobic crevice of a protein

•Can we use this induced binding of ca<sup>2+</sup> to cause a conformational change in a protein

•Can just do a proof of concept, but work can be extended by linking it up to some interesting effect

# Since last time.....

- Got through the theory paper.
- Important points/implications.
  - RF effect heavily dependent on metabolic flux
  - Model requires membrane localised protein
  - $-\Delta P$ : up or down. Mostly down.
  - Max  $\Delta P$ : 0.2 0.3. Detectable level?
  - Increase signal power, increase  $\Delta P$ .

### How might we do the experiment?

• FRET



Fig. 4. Example Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding curve of YC6.1.

- This is in vitro
- We need in vivo membrane localised
- in vivo Ca++ levels fluctuate.
- Cant generate binding curve?

Calcium Indicators Based on Calmodulin–Fluorescent Protein Fusions

Kevin Truong, Asako Sawano, Atsushi Miyawaki, and Mitsuhiko Ikura



# Instead of Binding curve

- Two cell pops: Control population Blue. RF population Red.
- Observe for long enough to get average behaviour of intracellular Ca++ fluctuations.
- Can subtract out differences in intensity caused by diffs in pop size.



- This would also work using Aequorin?
- CFP/YFP not looked at yet.

# Other issues

- Will need to try all frequencys. But...
  - Can start with large range of freqs.
  - If get an effect narrow down to frequency giving effect.
- How much work will this be?
  - Needs to be done for all Variants.